


On Dec. 1, 2013, about 1:30 PM Matt Maness met Cindy Haynes at the OFRA Door for Forensic Interview about husband Charles Haynes raping her 14-year-old daughter Melissa Hogg and handed her his business card below, saying to Mom Cindy that if she needed anything to call him:



Melissa Hogg (14)



On 12-1-2013 Matt Maness video recorded criminal child sexual abuse evidence against Charles M. Haynes

On 12-1-2013, Matt Maness (son of Attorneys Randy & Siegrid Maness) was in charge of the Forensic Interview case and video recorded the Forensic Interview of then 14-year-old minor Melissa Hogg.

On 12-2-2013, Matt Maness's Dad, Atty. Randolph (Randy) Maness entered his appearance as Charles Haynes CRIMINAL LAWYER in Case # 13RI-CR00907. On 12-12-2013 Randy Maness withdrew after getting Chuck out of jail (12-3-2013) by getting his bond reduced from \$50,000 to \$5,000. Siegrid paid the bond and then later Chuck's Mother Bernice Haynes refunded Siegrid and Randy's \$5,000.00.



Randy Maness Esq. testifies  
**FALSELY UNDER OATH:**  
"I'VE HAD NOTHING  
TO DO WITH THE  
CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS  
IN THIS CASE AT ALL."



On 12-12-2018, two weeks after Mikaela hanged herself on 11-24-2018, Randy Maness testified as Charles Michael Haynes character witness at his criminal sentencing to influence Judge Parker to give Chuck probation instead of prison time for raping Melissa Hogg under the age of 14. **Randy Maness lied under oath claiming that he had NEVER represented Chuck in the very case he was testifying in** (13RI-CR00907/13RI-CR00907-01). [Click here](#) to open in PDF format and read Randy Maness **under Oath testimony** at the sentencing hearing on 12-12-2018 (see pages 18, 19, 72).



**Chuck's criminal child sexual abuse sentencing hearing was put off two weeks from 11-26-18 to 12-12-18 because of Mikaela's funeral.**



On 12-17-2013, Matt Maness's MOTHER, and Cindy Haynes former Atty. Siegrid Smith Maness files and enters her appearance as Charles Haynes DIVORCE LAWYER in Case # 13RI-CV00554. Siegrid Maness went to work helping child molester Chuck hire Attorney Leonard Gillis in Moberly Mo to get Cindy's original divorce case **13RA-CV001291 transferred to Ripley County which cost Mikaela her life.**







Sort Date Entries: ☐ Descending ☒ Ascending



12/01/2013	<b>Warrant Issued</b> Document ID: 13-RIARW-334, for HAYNES, CHARLES MICHAEL. , Bond Amount: 50,000.00, Bond Text: CASH ONLY
12/02/2013	<b>Judge Assigned</b> <b>Complaint Filed</b> Filed By: EDWARD MICHAEL THOMPSON <b>Warrant Served</b> Document ID - 13-RIARW-334; Served To - HAYNES, CHARLES MICHAEL; Server - MO HP TROOP E - POPLAR BLUFF; Served Date - 01-DEC-13; Service Text - WEEKEND WARRANT <b>Arraignment Scheduled</b> Associated Entries: 12/03/2013 - Hearing Continued/Rescheduled Per agreement of parties Court date changed from 12-04-13 to 12-11-13 at 9:00 a.m. Scheduled For: 12/04/2013; 9:00 AM ; THOMAS D SWINDLE; Ripley <b>OCN Filed</b> <b>Entry of Appearance Filed</b> Entry of Appearance; Electronic Filing Certificate of Service. Filed By: RANDOLPH MANESS On Behalf Of: CHARLES MICHAEL HAYNES
12/03/2013	<b>Order</b> \$50,000.00 Bond Amount Amended from a Cash Only Bond to Cash or Surety or 10% Cash. So Ordered. TDS/sp <b>Hearing Continued/Rescheduled</b> Per agreement of parties Court date changed from 12-04-13 to 12-11-13 at 9:00 a.m. Hearing Continued From: 12/04/2013; 9:00 AM Arraignment <b>Arraignment Scheduled</b> Associated Entries: 12/12/2013 - Arraignment Held
<b>Arraignment Held</b> Scheduled For: 12/11/2013; 9:00 AM ; THOMAS D SWINDLE; Ripley	
<b>Preliminary Hearing Scheduled</b> State by PA Miller, defendant by attorney John Albright. <u>Oral motion to withdraw by attorney Maness granted.</u> Arraignment hearing held, de Associated Entries: 01/15/2014 - Hearing Continued/Rescheduled State by PA Miller, Defendant in person with ADFT Moore. Preliminary hearing continued until 04-03-14 at 1:00 pm. Scheduled For: 01/15/2014; 1:00 PM ; THOMAS D SWINDLE; Ripley	



12/12/2018 testimony at Charles Haynes criminal sentencing hearing (13RI-CR00907-01):

20 AG Prosecutor MS. KRUG: WITH REGARD TO THE FIFTH LISTED  
21 WITNESS RANDY MANESS YOUR HONOR, HE WAS THE DEFENDANT'S  
22 CRIMINAL ATTORNEY IN THIS CASE. HE WAS ALSO THE  
23 VICTIM'S MOTHER'S CIVIL ATTORNEY AT SOME POINT. I  
24 DON'T BELIEVE IT'S NECESSARILY APPROPRIATE FOR HIM TO  
25 TESTIFY IN THIS ARENA.

18



Why did Randy Maness LIE under oath on 12-12-2018 (13RI-CR00907-01) as a character witness for Charles Michael Haynes criminal sentencing hearing 2 weeks after Mikaela hanged herself on 11-24-2018? To influence an elected official (Judge Parker) to help Charles Haynes get probation instead of prison time.

Randy Maness Esq. testifies FALSELY UNDER OATH: "I'VE HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH THE CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS IN THIS CASE AT ALL."



1 MR. LISZEWSKI: YOUR HONOR I'D LIKE TO  
 2 RESPOND TO THAT. THE MOTHER IN THIS CASE CINDY HAYNES,  
 3 I REPRESENT CHUCK IN HIS DIVORCE AND SHE HAS MADE A  
 4 CLAIM THAT WAS PREVIOUSLY OVERRULED BY JUDGE SHOCK WHO  
 5 This was about Siegrid Maness NOT Randy Maness who was testifying in this case...  
 6 MANESS HAS REPRESENTED HER AT SOME POINT IN THE PAST,  
 7 THAT WAS NOT IN FACT THE CASE, NEVER WAS THE CASE.  
 8 Lie by Ted Liszewski Esq to Judge Parker  
 9 JUDGE SHOCK SUMMARILY OVERRULED THAT MOTION. TO MY  
 10 KNOWLEDGE WITH RESPECT TO THE DOCKET ENTRIES RANDY  
 11 MANESS HAS NEVER BEEN A PART OF ANY CRIMINAL PROCEEDING  
 12 IN THIS CASE.  
 13 Ted Liszewski lied. My former Attorney now HONORABLE Judge John Hudson Shock said that about  
 14 Siegrid Smith Maness Maness NOT Randolph (Randy) Maness

11 THE COURT: OKAY.

575.280-004Y201750\_\_ 06, 99 ACCEDING TO  
 CORRUPTION-FELONY PROSECUTION/TESTIFY FALSELY

575.320-001Y201750\_\_ 06, 07, 08, 13, 14, 16, 99 0 1  
 2 3 MISCONDUCT IN ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE

576.030-001Y20105099.\_ 99 0 1 2 3 OBSTRUCTING GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS

576.040-001Y201750\_\_ 08, 99 0 1 2 3 OFFICIAL MISCONDUCT

ORDIN.0-259Y20005099.0 99 0 INTERFERE WITH JUDICIAL PROCEEDING

ORDIN.0-261Y20005099.0 99 0 MISCELLANEOUS OBSTRUCTING JUDICIAL PROCEEDING

575.095-001Y201750\_\_ 06, 99 0 1 2 3 TAMPERING WITH A JUDICIAL OFFICER

575.100-001Y201750\_\_ 06, 99 0 1 2 3 TAMPERING WITH PHYSICAL EVIDENCE IN FELONY  
 PROSECUTION

575.060-001Y201050\_\_ 03, 06, 99 0 1 2 3 MAKING A FALSE DECLARATION

575.080-001Y201048\_\_ 03, 99 0 1 2 3 MAKING FALSE REPORT

ORDIN.0-378Y20002699.0 99 0 MADE FALSE STATEMENT/AFFIDAVIT OR KNOWINGLY  
 SWORE/AFFIRMED FALSELY TO ANY MATTER REQUIRED BY SECTIONS 302.010 - 302.540



# What is an Example of a Conflict of Interest?

What Is a Conflict of Interest? A conflict of interest involves a person or entity that has two relationships competing with each other for the person's loyalty. For example, the person might have a loyalty to a person and also loyalty to an opposing party.

1789 CONSTITUTION: Section 10 Clause 1 "No State shall enter into any Treaty, Alliance, or Confederation; grant Letters of Marque and Reprisal; coin Money; emit Bills of Credit; make any Thing but gold and silver Coin a Tender in Payment of Debts; pass any Bill of Attainder, ex post facto Law, or Law impairing the Obligation of Contracts, or grant any Title of Nobility." (such as ESQUIRE/ATTORNEY)

See OCDC Article:

## Some Conflicts Are Without A Cure,

Published: Nov 15, 2011,

By: Melody Nashan, Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel

Click to open the article:

<http://www.mochiefcounsel.org/newsP.htm?id=22>

samantha Dawn Pennington Evans, Esq.

Cindy's  
Former  
Attorney  
now  
Bernice's.

Randolph (Randy) Maness, Esq.

Cindy's  
Former  
Attorney  
then  
Chuck's CRIMINAL  
Attorney with son  
Matt Maness at OFRA.  
& wife on Divorce

Siegrid Smith Maness, Esq.

Cindy's  
Former  
Attorney then  
Beswitched  
to Chuck  
and now Bernice's.

# Are These Attorney's ABOVE The Law?

**GAL  
I CARE  
A LOT**

# MIKAELA

November 30, 2018

**GAL Jennifer Williams**

After learning on 11-23-2018 that her own "Atty" GAL Williams was going to testify at her father's criminal sentencing, Mikaela said, "I'm going to kill myself first before I go back into foster care!"

I'm going to testify as a character witness on behalf of your father at his criminal sentencing for the sexual abuse of your sister for Atty. Siegrid Smith Maness.

**AT EDWARDS FUNERAL HOME IN DONIPHAN, MO**

**Maddest: father**

**Wishes: 1- be home, 2- all the court to stop, 3- dad in jail**

Charles Michael Haynes has shown a clear intent felonious criminality/pattern of behavior, is clearly a menace to the safety of the public; **thus any who would thwart proper punishment accordingly must also begin to bear scrutiny as to THEIR intent...**



Exposed

EXCLUSIVE

# Is She Guilty Of **MURDER?**



Inspect the  
Weight of  
Evidence

I


**CARE**

A

**LOT.**

EXHIBIT

1



Mikaela Texted Mom  
This Pic of Her GAL

**GAL JENNIFER RENAE WILLIAMS**

**We The People...**

**You Be The Jury...**

**...By The People**



# C O U R T APPOINTED

R I P L E Y C O U N T Y  
M I S S O U R I

MAY 6, 2016 **to** PRESENT

**CASE # 13RI-CV00554**

CHARLES M. HAYNES PRISON #1332815  
V CYNTHIA (HAYNES) RANDOLPH

**FOR PROTECTION OF 2 GIRLS**

By Honorable Judge John Hudson Shock

**MIKAELA ANN & SARA MAE**

Has Court Appointed GAL Jennifer  
Renae Williams Protected These Girls?



**WILLIAMS LAW**

Jennifer R. Williams  
ATTORNEY AT LAW


573-200-6028

EMAIL: jennifer@williams.lawyer

1901 SUNSET DRIVE • POPLAR BLUFF, MO



EXHIBIT  
**2**



Mikaela Texted Mom  
This Pic of Her GAL

**Mikaela** hanged herself the next day (11-24-18) after she heard her GAL was going to testify as a character witness on behalf of convicted sexual child molester Charles Michael Haynes the following Monday (11-26-18).



GUARDIAN AD LITEM (**GAL**) MO BAR #64597

# JENNIFER RENAE WILLIAMS

IS THIS **GAL** GUILTY OF THE MURDER OF  
*Mikaela Ann Haynes (2004-2018)? You decide.*

# WHAT IS A "GAL" ? GUARDIAN AD LITEM



## Definition



A guardian ad litem is a guardian that a court appoints to watch after someone during a case.



**Mikaela Texted These Pictures  
Of Her GAL To Her Mom:**



## Overview

The person being watched over is called a ward, and a court will appoint someone to watch after the ward when the ward cannot take care of himself or herself. This is typically because the ward is a minor or is legally incompetent.

Unlike typical guardians or conservators, **guardians ad litem only protect their wards' interests in a single case. Courts most frequently appoint guardians ad litem in parents' disputes over child custody of their children. For example, the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act requires states to appoint guardians ad litem for children in abuse or neglect proceedings.** Courts may appoint guardians ad litem without the wards' consent.

## Source and Uniformity of Law

Generally, guardians ad litem are regulated by state and local laws. Jurisdictions differ not only on when to appoint guardians ad litem, but also on the guardians' minimum qualifications, training, compensation, and duties. Due to differences in local rules and funding availability, **the quality** and effectiveness of guardians ad litem can vary greatly.

## Guardians ad Litem in Domestic Disputes

Courts frequently appoint guardians ad litem to represent children's interests in cases involving adoption, child custody, child support, divorce, emancipation of minors, and visitation rights. In these cases, **the guardians ad litem usually act as FACTFINDERS for the court, not as advocates for the children. Accordingly, they should base their recommendations on what would actually be BEST for the children**, not on what the children prefer.

Usually, parents must split any costs associated with hiring a guardian ad litem.

## Guardians ad Litem in Incapacity Cases

In many jurisdictions, courts also appoint guardians ad litem in cases involving an allegedly incapacitated person. For example, if children sue, asking the court to declare their parent incapacitated and appoint a guardian or conservator, the court may appoint a guardian ad litem to advocate the parent's best interests.

Source: [https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/guardian\\_ad\\_litem](https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/guardian_ad_litem)

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BUTLER COUNTY, MISSOURI  
CIVIL DIVISION

Filed  
12/19/2016  
09:37 AM  
Ripley County  
Circuit Court

DOCKET MEMORANDUM

TO: Ted Liszewski, Attorney for Petitioner  
Jasper Edmundson, Attorney for Respondent  
Siegrid Maness, Attorney for Intervenor  
Jennifer William, Guardian Ad Litem

RE: Charles Haynes v. Cynthia Haynes (13RI-CV00554)

DATE: December 15, 2016

On the 7th day of December, 2016, the Petitioner appeared in person with his attorney Ted Liszewski, the Respondent appeared in person with her attorney Jasper Edmundson, Intervenor appeared with her attorney Siegrid Maness, and the minor children appeared with their guardian ad litem Jennifer Williams. Before the Court is Petitioner's Affidavit and Emergency Motion for Child Custody Pendente Lite. The parties presented evidence and the matter was taken under advisement.

The issue before the Court is the temporary custody of the parties' two minor children. The minor children have been residing with Mother since the parties' separation. Under the current Temporary Custody Order, Father is allowed two supervised visits per month every other Sunday from 1 p.m. to 6 p.m. Mother testified that the children should continue to reside with her and for Father's visits to continue to be supervised and of short duration. Father proposed that the children reside with his mother, Bernice Haynes, who has intervened in the case. Bernice Haynes testified that she is willing and able to care for the minor children.

After all other evidence was presented, the Guardian Ad Litem testified that, even though she has concerns about Bernice Haynes' failure to fully supervise Father's visits in the past, her recommendation is that the minor children be placed with Bernice Haynes. The GAL stated that placement of the children with their grandmother is in their best interests at this time.

The Court finds that, on a temporary basis, the minor children's best interests are served by residing with the Intervenor. All three parties will share joint legal custody of the minor children, with Intervenor being the ultimate decision maker for the minor children and will make all decisions concerning the children's schooling. The Court further finds it in the children's best interests that they visit with Mother every weekend from Friday at 6 p.m. to Sunday at noon. Father's visitation will be supervised every Sunday from 1 p.m. to 6 p.m. Intervenor to provide supervision for Father's visits.

So ordered.

Counsel Liszewski to prepare formal Judgment of Temporary Custody.

John H. Shock, Associate Circuit Judge

Charles Michael Haynes has shown a clear intent felonious criminality/pattern of behavior, is clearly a menace to the safety of the public; **thus any who would thwart proper punishment accordingly must also begin to bear scrutiny as to THEIR intent...**



STATE OF MISSOURI )  
 ) ss  
COUNTY OF RIPLEY )

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RIPLEY COUNTY, MISSOURI

IN RE: THE MARRIAGE OF )  
Charles Haynes and )  
Cynthia Haynes, )  
 )  
CHARLES HAYNES, )  
SSN: XXX-XX- )  
 )  
Petitioner, )  
 )  
vs. )  
 )  
CYNTHIA HAYNES, )  
SSN: XXX-XX-1203 )  
 )  
Respondent. )

Case No. 13RI-CV00554

CIVIL DIVISION

**ORDER REGARDING EMERGENCY**  
**MOTION FOR CHILD CUSTODY PENDENTE LITE**

On this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of December, 2016, the Court rules on Petitioner's Motion Child Custody Pendente Lite Motion. In consideration of this ruling, the Court held a hearing on December 7, 2016, in Poplar Bluff, Missouri. Petitioner appeared in person with Counsel, Respondent appeared in person with Counsel, the Intervenor appeared in person with counsel, and minor child Mekalia Haynes appeared with her Counsel. After hearing witness testimony, reviewing the testimony and exhibits, the Court hereby **ORDERS** as follows:

1. Petitioner's Motion is overruled with respect to his request for placing the children in the sole legal and physical custody of Intervenor or Petitioner pending entry of a final order.
2. Petitioner's Motion is sustained in part with respect to changing the legal custody of the minor unemancipated children. The Court **ORDERS** that on a temporary basis or until further order of the Court that Petitioner, Respondent, and Intervenor will all share in the joint legal custody of minor children. In the event of disagreement, Intervenor will be the ultimate decision maker with respect to the health, safety, and welfare of the minor children.
3. After reviewing the evidence, the best interests of the minor children would be best served by placing the children in the physical custody of Intervenor pending final order

of the Court. It is further **ORDERED** that the transfer of physical custody of the minor children shall occur December 23, 2016 at 5:00 P.M. Intervenor and Respondent shall communicate as to what items are needed for the minor children to effectuate the transfer. Respondent is further directed to provide clothing and other supplies at her residence that aid in the comfort and well-being of the children at the time of transfer.

4. Regarding education, Intervenor will make all decisions regarding the children's schooling. Intervenor has indicated that she intends to place the minor children in the Doniphan Public School District, and the Guardian Ad Litem agrees with this decision.
5. The Court hereby grants Intervenor the power to make all educational decisions regarding the minor unemancipated children. Petitioner and Respondent are hereby directed to execute any and all documents necessary to place the minor children in the Doniphan Public School District. All parties shall have access to school records and Intervenor will keep the other parties informed as to the children's progress.
6. Petitioner is awarded additional visitation and liberal supervised visitation is encouraged. At a minimum, visitation shall be from 1:00 P.M. to 6:00 P.M. every Sunday. Visitation on the newly ordered schedule shall commence on December 30, 2016. At the Intervenor's discretion and after consultation with the minor children, Petitioner may be invited for other events but all visits of any nature with Petitioner shall be supervised. There are no exceptions.
7. Respondent is awarded visitation of the minor children and liberal visitation is encouraged. At a minimum, visitation shall commence every Friday at 6:00 P.M. and conclude at noon on Sunday. Visitation will commence the weekend of December 30, 2016. At the Intervenor's discretion and after consultation with the minor children, Respondent may have additional visits with the minor children.
8. Should the parties not be able to agree to a visitation schedule for the Christmas Eve and Christmas Day, the Court directs that Respondent is awarded visitation from 5:00 P.M. Christmas Eve to Noon on Christmas Day. Petitioner shall be awarded visitation from 1:00 P.M. – 6:00 P.M. on Christmas Day.
9. All parties are directed to not discuss any aspect of the pending dissolution or comment on the other party in the presence of the minor children. No financial matters will be discussed, and neither party shall say anything in the presence of the minor children which may diminish their love and affection for any of the parties to this action.

So **ORDERED** this 22nd day of December, 2016.



Honorable John H. Shock  
Associate Circuit Judge.



Case # 13RI-CV00554 was 1st filed on Dec. 17, 2013, 10 days AFTER Cindy filed in Moberly Mo on Dec. 10, 2013 Case # 13RA-CV001291! Cindy's case was transferred despite warnings filed on Jan 3, 2014, that "the best interests of the children would be compromised if the case was transferred to Ripley County", Mo. Which was opened (14RI-CV00038) and then dismissed without notice to Cindy Haynes. Then Cindy's former Atty. Siegrid Maness started using this case # 13RI-CV00554.

STATE OF MISSOURI )  
 )ss  
COUNTY OF RIPLEY )

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RIPLEY COUNTY, MISSOURI

In Re the Marriage of: )  
Charles Haynes and Cynthia Haynes )  
  
Petitioner, )  
 )  
-vs- ) Case No: 13RI-CV00554  
 )  
CYNTHIA HAYNES, )  
  
Respondent. )



**MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF GUARDIAN AD LITEM**

COMES NOW, Petitioner, Charles Haynes, by and through Counsel, Theodore Liszewski, and requests pursuant to 452.423 RSMo. that a guardian ad litem be appointed to hear the interests of the minor un-emancipated children in this matter. In support of this request, Petitioner states as follows:

1. This matter is currently set for trial on May 20, 2016 in Butler County, MO.
2. Counsel has been preparing this matter for trial and additionally depositions are tentatively set for May 13, 2016 for Respondent and the minor children.
3. During the course of reviewing discovery, it has come to Counsel's attention that Respondent has made allegations of abuse and neglect in responses to interrogatories.
4. Petitioner is making similar allegations of abuse and neglect against Respondent.
5. According to 452.423(2) RSMo., the appointment of a guardian ad litem is required in any instance alleging abuse and neglect. This case was first filed 12-17-2013 but GAL was NOT appointed until May 6, 2016!



WHEREFORE, Petitioner respectfully requests the Court appoint a guardian ad litem to represent the interests of the two un-emancipated minor children in this matter, for a hearing, and any other relief the Court may deem proper in the premises.

Respectfully Submitted,

(2000) Section allowing party to custody or visitation proceeding to disqualify one guardian ad litem as matter of right is constitutional. Suffian v. Usher, 19 S.W.3d 130 (Mo.banc).

/s/ Theodore E. Liszewski

Theodore E. Liszewski #56400  
 220 North Main Street  
 Sikeston, MO 63801  
 (573) 475-9290  
 (573) 475-9025- Facsimile  
 ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER

### **CERTIFICATE OF FILING**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy delivered to all parties via the Missouri Court efilng system on this 28<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2016.

/s/Theodore Liszewski  
 Attorney for Petitioner



Siegrid Smith Maness, Esq.



Cindy, (Mikaela's Mother) had fled to protect children from Charles Michael Haynes to Moberly Missouri on December 3, 2013, after Atty Siegrid Smith Maness used her own personal funds to get Chuck released from jail and her Atty husband Randolph (Randy) Maness had worked hard to get Charles Michael Haynes out of jail after being arrested for the sexual abuse of Melissa (11-14 years old timeframe), Mikaela's half-sister, where Cindy Haynes Randolph had her family to help support her and Mikaela and Sara and Melissa. Charles Haynes hired Cindy's former Attorney Siegrid Smith Maness who worked hard on forum shopping to get the case moved from Moberly Mo where Cindy had first filed on Dec 10, 2013. Siegrid Smith Maness wanted the case in her County where her buddies were located. On Jan 03, 2014, Cindy's Atty Luntsford filed in Moberly Mo Randolph County that the BEST INTERESTS of the children would be compromised if the case # 13RA-CV001291 was moved to Ripley County Mo., which due to a clerical error it was moved to Ripley County where Mikaela later hanged herself because of all the paper genocide attacks upon her and her Mom and sisters in Ripley County... it then became Case # 14RI-CV00038, and then was later dismissed without notice to Cindy Haynes at all (failure of due process) by the influence of Atty. Siegrid Smith Maness to Judge William Clarkson who was Melissa's half-brother's uncle. (Judge Clarkson's brother Tommy Clarkson's wife had Danny Hogg's son, Silas Clarkson. A conflict of interest for Judge Clarkson to sit on this case # 14RI-CV00038. The Court then by Atty Sigrid Smith Maness's direction, started to use the case my former Attorney Siegrid Smith Maness had filed against her former client Cynthia Kay Haynes on behalf of Charles Michael Haynes (Siegrid Maness's lifelong teenage friend, whose brother Richard Smith had burned a house down for Chuck years before) Case # 13RI-CV00554.